Quantum speed limit for perturbed open systems

arXiv:2307.09118 PRL 132, 230404 (2024)

<u>Benjamin Yadin</u>, Satoya Imai, Otfried Gühne University of Siegen





Geometry of quantum dynamics Siegen, 29.08.2024



Quantum speed limit

An uncertainty relation for time?

Heisenberg:

Mandelstam-Tamm:

 $\Delta x \Delta p \ge \frac{\hbar}{2}$ $t \, \Delta H \ge \frac{\pi \hbar}{2}$

minimal time to reach an orthogonal state, evolving under Hamiltonian ${\cal H}$

Applications:

Deffner & Campbell, J Phys A 50, 453001 (2017)

quantum control, information processing speed, quantum heat engines, many-body dynamics

2

 $(\Delta H)^2 = \langle H^2 \rangle - \langle H \rangle^2$



Mandelstam & Tamm, J Phys (USSR) IX, 249 (1945)

Perturbations are everywhere

• Perturbation theory, linear response

How fast can a system respond to a given perturbation?



• Non-equilibrium dynamics, quantum quenches

• Quantum info: metrology, channel discrimination



Uhlmann speed limit



Quantum Fisher information

- Infinitesimal "Bures metric" $\theta_B(\rho_t, \rho_{t+\delta t})^2 = \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}(\rho_t, \mathcal{L}_t)\delta t^2 + \dots$
- Measure of squared "statistical speed"

• In metrology: lower-bounds the uncertainty for estimating elapsed time

Quantum Cramér-Rao bound

$$\Delta t_{\rm est} \ge \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{F}}}$$



Tóth & Apellaniz, J Phys A 47, 424006 (2014)

• Can be used to witness quantum properties: entanglement, optical non-classicality + more...

Uhlmann speed limit

$$\theta_B(\rho_0, \rho_t) \le \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \mathrm{d}s \,\sqrt{\mathcal{F}(\rho_s, \mathcal{H}_s)}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho_t}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathcal{H}_t(\rho_t) = -i[H_t, \rho_t]$$

Fixed Hamiltonian recovers Mandelstam-Tamm

 $\mathcal{F}(\rho, \mathcal{H}) \le 4(\Delta H)^2$

implies MT bound:

$$\frac{\pi}{2} \le t \Delta H$$



Perturbation speed limit

Speed limit for perturbed open systems

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}\rho_t}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \mathcal{L}_t(\rho_t) & \text{unperturbed} \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_t}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \mathcal{L}_t(\sigma_t) + \mathcal{P}_t(\sigma_t) & \text{perturbed} \end{aligned}$$

 $\sigma_0 = \rho_0$

$$\theta_B(\rho_t, \sigma_t) \le \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \mathrm{d}s \, \sqrt{\mathcal{F}(\sigma_s, \mathcal{P}_s)}$$

main result



Proof ingredients:

- Triangle inequality
- QFI as a metric
- contractivity under channels ("data processing")

Speed limit with weak coupling



Interested in the speed of response to a perturbing Hamiltonian V...

- ...but we:
- can't switch off the noise
- want to assume as little about the noise as possible

Characteristic time-scales:

- bath correlation τ_B
- bare system evolution τ_S
- system relaxation τ_R
- perturbation $\tau_V \sim 1/v$

weak coupling + secular approximation: $\tau_R \gg \tau_B$, τ_S

weak perturbation: $\tau_V \gg \tau_B$, τ_S

Speed limit with weak coupling



$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho_t}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathcal{L}(\rho_t)$$
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_t}{\mathrm{d}t} = \left[\mathcal{L} + v\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{L}'\right](\sigma_t)$$

"annoying perturbation" from altered jump operators & Bohr frequencies

we take it to first order: $\mathcal{L}' = v\mathcal{L}^{(1)}$

Error in neglecting the annoying part is determined by $\epsilon := \max_{\psi} \left\| \mathcal{L}^{(1)}(\psi) \right\|$

- can be calculated exactly given the model
- otherwise estimated from timescales $\epsilon = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\tau_S}{\tau_B}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\tau_B}{\tau_B}\right)$

Speed limit with weak coupling



result:

$$\theta_B(\rho_t, \sigma_t) \le \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \mathrm{d}s \sqrt{\mathcal{F}(\sigma_s, v\mathcal{V})}\right] + \delta(t)$$

$$|\delta(t)| \le \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{3} \|V\| \,\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} (vt)^{\frac{3}{2}} + \epsilon vt$$

error can be bounded

 ϵ is guaranteed to be small by assumptions of weak-coupling master equation

$$\epsilon = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\tau_S}{\tau_R}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\tau_B}{\tau_R}\right)$$

secular approximation

Born-Markov approximation

Witnessing QFI

Witnessing large QFI

A common method to experimentally lower-bound QFI, assuming negligible decoherence:

e.g. in BECs: Strobel et al., Science 345, 424 (2014)

- evolve under vV for known time t
- collect measurement statistics for initial state and for time t
- compute a distance between the distributions



Witnessing large QFI

A different protocol **allowing for decoherence**:

- evolve under either unperturbed or perturbed dynamics for known time t
- collect measurement statistics in both cases at time t
- compute a distance between the distributions



Witnessing large QFI - example

$$\langle \sqrt{\mathcal{F}(\sigma_s, \mathcal{V})} \rangle_t \ge \frac{2\theta_{B, \text{measured}}}{vt} - \text{error}$$

2 qubits, each with $H = h\sigma_z$, dephasing with strength g

perturbation: $V = (\sigma_x \otimes I + I \otimes \sigma_x)/2$

error parameter bounded by $\epsilon \leq 4g/h$

To witness entanglement:

 $\mathcal{F}(\sigma_s, \mathcal{V}) > 2 \Rightarrow \text{entanglement}$

Tóth, PRA (2012) Hyllus et al., PRA (2012)

start in |00
angle+|11
angle measure in Bell basis at time t



Quantum work fluctuations

Fluctuation-dissipation relation

• Starting with Einstein and Brownian motion:

near thermal equilibrium, fluctuations and dissipation must be related (e.g. diffusion constant and friction)

• In classical stochastic thermodynamics (slow but finite driving):

$$\Delta W^2 = 2k_B T W_{
m diss}$$

variance of work
done on system mean dissipated work:
 $W_{
m diss} = \langle W \rangle - \Delta F$

Jarzynski, PRL 78, 2690 (1997)

Quantum FDR

- System in contact with a thermal environment at temperature T
- Quench $H \to H' = H + vV$ at t = 0 to kick it out of the thermal state $\rho_0 = \gamma = \frac{e^{-\beta H}}{Z}$
- System approaches the new thermal state $\gamma' = \frac{e^{-\beta H'}}{Z'}$



$$\Delta W^2 = 2k_B T W_{\rm diss} + \Delta W_Q^2 \label{eq:diss}$$
 Miller et al., PRL 123 230603 (2019)

quantum correction, vanishes when $\left[H,V
ight] =0$



Quantum work fluctuations



Quantum work fluctuations are needed for fast departure from equilibrium

Classical versus quantum driving

$$\theta_B(\gamma, \rho_t) \le \sqrt{3}t\Delta W_Q + \delta(t)$$



 $\delta(t)$ dominates in the classical case, when [H, V] = 0

Quantum driving regime: when the quantum term dominates

$$\frac{\Delta W_Q}{\|vV\|} \gg \max\left\{\sqrt{\epsilon vt}\|V\|, \,\epsilon\right\}$$

(fast "spiralling" path)

Summary

- Quantum Fisher Information bounds speed of response to perturbations
- Versatile speed limit, holds approximately in weak coupling
 - error can be estimated from timescales
- Relates **quantum work fluctuations** to departure from equilibrium



Thanks for your attention!

arXiv:2307.09118 PRL 132, 230404 (2024)

with:



Satoya Imai



Otfried Gühne







This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 945422

