

Rotational covariance restricts available quantum states

Fynn Otto, Konrad Szymański

University of Siegen

PRA 110, 022440



Motivation

Spin states: direction in 3D space

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{j,m} \psi_{j,m} |j,m\rangle$$

SU(2)-covariant operations:

$$\Lambda \circ \mathcal{U}_g = \mathcal{U}_g \circ \Lambda$$

for all $g \in SU(2)$



Which transformations $\rho \mapsto \sigma$ are realizable with SU(2)-covariant operations?

SU(2)-covariant operations

- Examples for SU(2)-covariant channels: $\Lambda(\rho) = \rho \text{ and } \Lambda(\rho) = |0,0\rangle\langle 0,0|$
- Cannot increase directional information
- Known parametrization of Kraus operators

Limited results for the possibility of the trafo $|\psi\rangle \mapsto |\phi\rangle$:

- All spins in one direction: $\mathcal{H} = \text{span}(\{|j,j\rangle\}_{j=0,\frac{1}{2},1...})$
- Eigenstates of J_z



a general characterization of possible trafos



Gour and Spekkens. New Journal of Physics, 10(3):033023, 2008.

Szymański. Numerical ranges and geometry in quantum information. PhD thesis, 2023.

Perfect + deterministic transformation $\rho \mapsto \sigma$ often impossible

 \Rightarrow Drop one of the requirements

Imperfect + deterministic

Minimize the distance

 $D(\Lambda(\rho), \sigma)$

over all SU(2)-covariant channels Λ



Perfect + stochastic

Maximize the postselection rate p for the transformation $|\psi\rangle \mapsto |\phi\rangle$



Minimum distance

Convex set of reachable states $\{\Lambda(\rho) \mid \Lambda \ SU(2)\text{-covariant channel}\}$

Minimizing

$$D(\Lambda(\rho), \sigma) = \sqrt{2(1 - \mathcal{F}(\Lambda(\rho), \sigma))}$$

 \Leftrightarrow maximizing the fidelity

$$\mathcal{F}(\Lambda(\rho),\sigma) = \operatorname{tr}\left(\sqrt{\sqrt{\sigma}\Lambda(\rho)\sqrt{\sigma}}\right)$$

Goal: Semidefinite program (SDP)

- Efficiently solvable
- Guaranteed precision of the solution



SU(2)-covariant channels

Gour and Spekkens:

$$\Lambda_{\{\vec{f}_J\}_J}(\rho) = \sum_{J,M} K_{J,M}(\vec{f}_J) \rho K_{J,M}^{\dagger}(\vec{f}_J)$$

 \Rightarrow parametrized Kraus operators

Fidelity SDP

Fidelity between two states ρ, σ :

r

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max_{X} & \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}\Big(X+X^{\dagger}\Big),\\ \text{s.t.} & \left(\begin{matrix} \rho & X \\ X^{\dagger} & \sigma \end{matrix} \right) \succcurlyeq 0,\\ & X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}. \end{array}$$

Fynn Otto

Gour and Spekkens. New Journal of Physics, 10(3):033023, 2008.

Watrous. Chicago Journal of Theoretical Computer Science, 2013.

Killoran, Entanglement quantification and quantum benchmarking of optical communication devices. Ph.D. thesis, 2012.

Minimum distance

Combine optimization over channels $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ with fidelity SDP

Proposition

The maximum fidelity $\max_{\Lambda} \mathcal{F}(\Lambda(\rho), \sigma)$ can be obtained as the solution of a SDP.

$$\max_{X, \{F_J\}_J} \quad \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \left(X + X^{\dagger} \right)$$

s.t. $\begin{pmatrix} \sigma & X \\ X^{\dagger} & \Lambda_{\{F_J\}_J}(\rho) \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$ (for fidelity)
 $\xi(\{F_J\}_J) = 0$ (normalization)
 $F_J \ge 0 \; \forall J$ (positivity)
 $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$

 \Rightarrow solution for any pair of states, i.e. noisy input states

Known results



Problem: $\chi_{\psi}(\vec{r}) = \langle \psi | e^{i(r_x J_x + r_y J_y + r_z J_z)} | \psi \rangle$ impractical

\Rightarrow Need for an easy-to-handle representation of SU(2)

Marvian. Symmetry, Asymmetry and Quantum Information. PhD thesis, 2012.

Fundamental representation

$$U_{u,v} = \begin{pmatrix} u & -v^* \\ v & u^* \end{pmatrix}, \ \det [U_{u,v}] = |u|^2 + |v|^2 = 1$$

Homogeneous bivariate polynomials of degree 2j

$$f\left[\binom{z_1}{z_2}\right] = \sum_{m=-j}^{j} c_m z_1^{j+m} z_2^{j-m}$$

transform as

$$f\left[U_{u,v}^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}z_1\\z_2\end{pmatrix}\right] = \sum_{m=-j}^{j} c'_m z_1^{j+m} z_2^{j-m}$$

B. C. Hall. Lie Groups, Lie Algebras, and Representations: An Elementary Introduction. Springer, 2003.

Representations for spin-*j*

$$U_{u,v}^{(j=\frac{1}{2})} = \begin{pmatrix} u & -v^* \\ v & u^* \end{pmatrix} , \ U_{u,v}^{(j=1)} = \begin{pmatrix} u^2 & -\sqrt{2}uv^* & v^{*2} \\ \sqrt{2}uv & uu^* - vv^* & -\sqrt{2}u^*v^* \\ v^2 & \sqrt{2}u^*v & u^{*2} \end{pmatrix}, \dots$$

Characteristic functions become polynomials

$$\chi_{\psi}(u, \mathbf{v}) = \langle \psi | U_{u, \mathbf{v}} | \psi \rangle = \sum_{m', m \in \{-j, \dots, j\}} U_{m', m}^{(j)} \psi_{m'}^* \psi_m$$

 \Rightarrow We know how to deal with polynomials



Characteristic function theory + polynomials + SDP:

Proposition

The maximum postselection rate for the SU(2)-covariant trafo $|\psi\rangle\mapsto|\phi\rangle$ is given by

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max_{\xi,\sigma} & {\rm tr}(\xi) \\ {\rm s.t.} & \chi_{\psi} = \chi_{\phi}\chi_{\xi} + \chi_{\sigma} & {\rm SU}(2) \text{-covariance} \\ & \xi, \sigma \geq 0 & {\rm states \ in \ the \ Stinespring \ dilation} \end{array}$$

 \Rightarrow solves the interconversion problem for generic pure states $\ket{\psi}, \ket{\phi}$

Goal: Estimate the phase applied to two light modes

Purple box: U(1) operation Input state: $|\psi\rangle = |\gamma\rangle |0\rangle$ (coherent state \otimes vacuum state)



 $\Rightarrow \text{ Improve input state } U(1)\text{-covariantly:} \\ \text{ pre-processing } = \text{ post-processing}$

Tool: stochastic covariant transformations

Higher resolution

 $\Delta \theta_{\phi} \approx 0.74 \Delta \theta_{\psi}$

for the post-measurement state

 $\ket{\phi} = \ket{\gamma(1-\epsilon)} \left(\cos \tau \ket{0} - \sin \tau \ket{2}
ight)$



Characterization of state transformations under rotational covariance:

Minimum distance between $\Lambda(\rho)$ and a target state σ using SU(2)-covariant channels as a semidefinite program

Maximum probability for $|\psi\rangle\mapsto|\phi\rangle$ using SU(2)-covariant channels as a semidefinite program

Phase-estimation improvement with stochastic U(1)-covariant operations

Thank you for the attention!



PRA 110, 022440