# Nonperturbative QCD in $D^0 \overline{D}^0$ mixing

Lovro Dulibić (Ruđer Bošković Institute, Croatia)



Funded by the European Union NextGenerationEU

in collaboration with: Blaženka Melić (Ruđer Bošković Institute, Croatia), Alexey Petrov (University of South Carolina, USA)



#### **Quirks in Quark Flavor Physics, Zadar 2024**



# Outline

- 1. Introducing the formalism
- 2. Presenting the problem
- 3. Our approach nonlocal condensates
- 4. (Preliminary) results
- 5. Future research

$$i\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} |D^{0}(t)\rangle \\ |\overline{D}^{0}(t)\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \hat{\mathcal{H}} \begin{pmatrix} |D^{0}\rangle \\ |\overline{D}^{0}\rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \left(\hat{M} - \frac{i}{2}\hat{\Gamma}\right)$$

$$i\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} |D^{0}(t)\rangle \\ |\overline{D}^{0}(t)\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \hat{\mathcal{H}} \begin{pmatrix} |D^{0}\rangle \\ |\overline{D}^{0}\rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \left( \hat{M} - \frac{i}{2} \hat{\Gamma} \right)$$

$$\hat{\Gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_{11} & \Gamma_{12} \\ \Gamma_{12}^* & \Gamma_{11} \end{pmatrix} ; \quad \hat{M} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{12}^* & M_{11} \end{pmatrix}$$



$$i\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} |D^{0}(t)\rangle \\ |\overline{D}^{0}(t)\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \hat{\mathcal{H}} \begin{pmatrix} |D^{0}\rangle \\ |\overline{D}^{0}\rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\hat{U}^{-1}\left(\hat{M}-\frac{i}{2}\hat{\Gamma}\right)\hat{U} = \begin{pmatrix} M_L - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_L & 0\\ 0 & M_H - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_H \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \left( \hat{M} - \frac{i}{2} \hat{\Gamma} \right)$$

$$\hat{\Gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_{11} & \Gamma_{12} \\ \Gamma_{12}^* & \Gamma_{11} \end{pmatrix} ; \quad \hat{M} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{12}^* & M_{11} \end{pmatrix}$$



$$i\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} |D^{0}(t)\rangle \\ |\overline{D}^{0}(t)\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \hat{\mathcal{H}} \begin{pmatrix} |D^{0}\rangle \\ |\overline{D}^{0}\rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\hat{U}^{-1}\left(\hat{M}-\frac{i}{2}\hat{\Gamma}\right)\hat{U} = \begin{pmatrix} M_L - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_L & 0\\ 0 & M_H - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_H \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \left(\hat{M} - \frac{i}{2}\hat{\Gamma}\right)$$

$$\hat{\Gamma} = \begin{pmatrix}\Gamma_{11} & \Gamma_{12} \\ \Gamma_{12}^* & \Gamma_{11}\end{pmatrix} ; \quad \hat{M} = \begin{pmatrix}M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{12}^* & M_{11}\end{pmatrix}$$

#### *lifetime difference*

$\Delta M - M_{12}$	$\lambda - \Delta \Gamma$	$\Gamma_{12}$
$x = \frac{1}{\Gamma_D} = 2 \frac{1}{\Gamma_D}$	$y - \frac{1}{2\Gamma_D}$	$\Gamma_D$

#### mass difference



$$i\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} |D^{0}(t)\rangle \\ |\overline{D}^{0}(t)\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \hat{\mathcal{H}} \begin{pmatrix} |D^{0}\rangle \\ |\overline{D}^{0}\rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\hat{U}^{-1}\left(\hat{M}-\frac{i}{2}\hat{\Gamma}\right)\hat{U} = \begin{pmatrix} M_L - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_L & 0\\ 0 & M_H - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_H \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \left(\hat{M} - \frac{i}{2}\hat{\Gamma}\right)$$

$$\hat{\Gamma} = \begin{pmatrix}\Gamma_{11} & \Gamma_{12} \\ \Gamma_{12}^* & \Gamma_{11}\end{pmatrix} ; \quad \hat{M} = \begin{pmatrix}M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{12}^* & M_{11}\end{pmatrix}$$

#### *lifetime difference*

$$x = \frac{\Delta M}{\Gamma_D} = 2\frac{M_{12}}{\Gamma_D} \qquad \qquad y = \frac{\Delta\Gamma}{2\Gamma_D} = \frac{\Gamma_{12}}{\Gamma_D}$$
$$mass difference$$



## ntroduction Experiment

- $D^0\overline{D}^0$  mixing was discovered in **2007** by Belle and BaBar
  - hypothesis is excluded at  $> 10\sigma$ )

parameter



BELLE collaboration, Evidence for D – D Mixing, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98 (2007) 211803, [hep-ex/0703036] BaBar collaboration, Evidence for D – D Mixing, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98 (2007) 211802, [hep-ex/0703020] HFLAV collaboration, Averages of b-hadron, c-hadron, and  $\tau$ -lepton properties as of 2021, Phys. Rev. D 107 (2023) 052008, [2206.07501]

# Later confirmed by many others (to such an extent that the no-mixing)

#### The current experimental world average for the mass difference mixing

# $x_{EXP} = -0.44^{+0.13}_{-0.15} \times 10^{-2}$























![](_page_10_Picture_2.jpeg)

 $x_{EXP} \approx 10^{-2}$ 

'singly' GIM suppressed

![](_page_10_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $\mathscr{A} = \xi_s^2 (A_{ss} - 2A_{ds} + A_{dd}) + 2\xi_s \xi_b (A_{dd} - A_{ds}) + \xi_b^2 A_{dd}$ 

![](_page_11_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $\mathscr{A} = \xi_{s}^{2} (A_{ss} - 2A_{ds} + A_{dd}) + 2\xi_{s}\xi_{b} (A_{dd} - A_{ds}) + \xi_{b}^{2} A_{dd}$ 

- The contributions from naively leading operators give a result multiple orders of magnitude smaller than experiment.
- It seems other contributions are actually leading. NLO, nonperturbative effects, ...

![](_page_12_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_3.jpeg)

- The contributions from naively leading operators give a result multiple orders of magnitude smaller than experiment.
- It seems other contributions are actually leading. NLO, nonperturbative effects, ...

 Sticking to the Standard Model, we look for the missing contribution from nonperturbative physics.

![](_page_13_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_10.jpeg)

#### Different approaches Inclusive

• SU(3) breaking contributions from new higher-dimension operators

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

E. Golowich and A. A. Petrov, Short distance analysis of D0 - anti-D0 mixing, Phys. Lett. B625 (2005) 53–62, [hep-ph/0506185]

M. Bobrowski, A. Lenz, J. Riedl and J. Rohrwild, D - anti-D mixing in the framework of the HQE *revisited*, 0904.3971

- - -

![](_page_14_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### Different approaches Inclusive Exclusive

• SU(3) breaking contributions from new higher-dimension operators

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

Golowich and A. A. Petrov, Short distance E. analysis of D0 - anti-D0 mixing, Phys. Lett. B625 (2005) 53-62, [hep-ph/0506185]

M. Bobrowski, A. Lenz, J. Riedl and J. Rohrwild, D - anti-D mixing in the framework of the HQE revisited, 0904.3971

• SU(3) breaking contributions from **bound states** 

![](_page_15_Figure_6.jpeg)

. . .

A. F. Falk, Y. Grossman, Z. Ligeti, and A. A. Petrov, SU(3) breaking and D0–D0 mixing, Phys. *Rev. D 65, 054034,* [hep-ph/0110317] H.-Y. Cheng and C.-W. Chiang, Long-distance contributions to D0–D0 mixing parameters, Phys. *Rev. D 81, 114020,* [1005.1106]

# inclusion of intermediate

#### $\pi\pi, \pi K, KK, \ldots$

#### Different approaches Inclusive Exclusive

• SU(3) breaking contributions from new higher-dimension operators

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

Golowich and A. A. Petrov, Short distance analysis of D0 - anti-D0 mixing, Phys. Lett. B625 (2005) 53-62, [hep-ph/0506185]

M. Bobrowski, A. Lenz, J. Riedl and J. Rohrwild, D - anti-D mixing in the framework of the HQE revisited, 0904.3971

• SU(3) breaking contributions from **bound states** 

![](_page_16_Figure_6.jpeg)

D0–D0 mass difference from a dispersion relation, Phys. A. F. Falk, Y. Grossman, Z. Ligeti, and A. A. Petrov, SU(3) breaking and D0–D0 mixing, Phys. *Rev. D 69, 114021,* [hep-ph/0402204] *Rev. D 65, 054034,* [hep-ph/0110317] H.-N. Li, H. Umeeda, F. Xu and F.-S. Yu, D meson mixing as an inverse problem, Phys. Lett. B 810 (2020) 135802, H.-Y. Cheng and C.-W. Chiang, Long-distance [2001.04079] contributions to D0–D0 mixing parameters, Phys. *Rev. D 81, 114020,* [1005.1106] H.-n. Li, Dispersive analysis of neutral meson mixing, *Phys. Rev. D* 107 (2023) 054023, [2208.14798] 17

#### Dispersive

# inclusion of intermediate

#### $\pi\pi, \pi K, KK, \ldots$

SU(3) breaking contributions from 'threshold' effects from different D meson decay channels

A. F. Falk, Y: Grossman, Z. Ligeti, Y. Nir, and A. A. Petrov,

![](_page_16_Figure_16.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_17.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

• **Box diagram** is  $\propto (m_s/m_c)^4$ 

![](_page_17_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

• Box diagram is 
$$\propto \left(\frac{m_s}{m_c}\right)^4$$
  
$$S_q = \frac{p + m_q}{p^2 - m_q^2} = \frac{p + m_q}{p^2} \left(1 + \frac{m_q^2}{p^2} + \dots\right)$$

![](_page_18_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

• Box diagram is 
$$\propto \left(\frac{m_s}{m_c}\right)^4$$
  
 $S_q = \frac{p + m_q}{p^2 - m_q^2} = \frac{p + m_q}{p^2} \left(1 + \frac{m_q^2}{p^2} + \dots\right)$ 

• Using **QCD condensates** we expect  $\propto (m_s/m_c)^3$ 

![](_page_19_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

• Box diagram is 
$$\propto (m_s/m_c)^4$$
  
 $S_q = \frac{p + m_q}{p^2 - m_q^2} = \frac{p + m_q}{p^2} \left(1 + \frac{m_q^2}{p^2} + ...\right)$   
QCD condensates we expect  $\propto (m_s/m_c)^3$ 

$$\langle \overline{q}(x)q(0) \rangle \propto 1 + ix \frac{m_q}{4} + \dots$$

![](_page_20_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

• Box diagram is 
$$\propto \left(\frac{m_s}{m_c}\right)^4$$
  
$$S_q = \frac{p + m_q}{p^2 - m_q^2} = \frac{p + m_q}{p^2} \left(1 + \frac{m_q^2}{p^2} + \dots\right)$$

# • Using **QCD condensates** we expect $\propto (m_s/m_c)^3$

Essentially, we trade a power of m<sub>s</sub>/m<sub>c</sub> suppression for a suppression of the higher dimensional operator.
Don't forget - there is also 16π<sup>2</sup> relative enhancement since this is not a loop calculation

![](_page_21_Picture_6.jpeg)

 Condensates are well-known and have bee decades

$$\begin{split} \langle \overline{q}(x)^a_{\alpha}q(0)^b_{\beta} \rangle &= \frac{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_0}{4N_C} \delta^{ab} \left[ \delta_{\alpha\beta} \left( 1 - \frac{x^2}{4} \left( \frac{m^2}{2} - \frac{\langle \overline{q}i\sigma G}{2\langle \overline{q}q \rangle} \right) \right) \right] \\ &+ i(x)_{\beta\alpha} \left( \frac{m}{4} - \frac{x^2}{4} \left( \frac{m^3}{12} - \frac{m}{12} \frac{\langle \overline{q}i\sigma Gq \rangle_0}{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_0} + \frac{2}{81} \pi \alpha_s^{NP} \frac{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_0}{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_0} \right) \right] \end{split}$$

M.A. Shifman, A.I. Vainshtein, V.I. Zakharov, QCD and resonance physics. theoretical foundations, Nucl. Phys. B147, Issue 5, 1979, 385-447

#### Condensates are well-known and have been widely used in sum rules calculations for

![](_page_22_Figure_5.jpeg)

 Condensates are well-known and have bee decades

$$\begin{split} \langle \overline{q}(x)^a_{\alpha}q(0)^b_{\beta} \rangle &= \frac{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_0}{4N_C} \delta^{ab} \left[ \delta_{\alpha\beta} \left( 1 - \frac{x^2}{4} \left( \frac{m^2}{2} - \frac{\langle \overline{q}i\sigma G}{2\langle \overline{q}q \rangle} \right) \right) \right] \\ &+ i(x)_{\beta\alpha} \left( \frac{m}{4} - \frac{x^2}{4} \left( \frac{m^3}{12} - \frac{m}{12} \frac{\langle \overline{q}i\sigma Gq \rangle_0}{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_0} + \frac{2}{81} \pi \alpha_s^{NP} \frac{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_0}{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_0} \right) \right] \end{split}$$

M.A. Shifman, A.I. Vainshtein, V.I. Zakharov, QCD and resonance physics. theoretical foundations, Nucl. Phys. B147, Issue 5, 1979, 385-447

Condensates are well-known and have been widely used in sum rules calculations for

![](_page_23_Picture_5.jpeg)

$$\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_0 = (-243 \text{ MeV})^3$$
  
 $\frac{\langle \overline{q}i\sigma Gq \rangle_0}{2\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_0} = 0.4 \pm 0.1 \text{ GeV}^2$   
 $\frac{\langle \overline{s}s \rangle}{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle} = 0.8 \pm 0.3$ 

Condensates are well-known and have been widely used in sum rules calculations for • decades

$$\begin{split} \langle \overline{q}(x)^a_{\alpha}q(0)^b_{\beta} \rangle &= \frac{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_0}{4N_C} \delta^{ab} \left[ \delta_{\alpha\beta} \left( 1 - \frac{x^2}{4} \left( \frac{m^2}{2} - \frac{\langle \overline{q}i\sigma G}{2\langle \overline{q}q \rangle} \right) \right) \right] \\ &+ i(x)_{\beta\alpha} \left( \frac{m}{4} - \frac{x^2}{4} \left( \frac{m^3}{12} - \frac{m}{12} \frac{\langle \overline{q}i\sigma Gq \rangle_0}{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_0} + \frac{2}{81} \pi \alpha_s^{NP} \frac{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_0}{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_0} \right) \right] \end{split}$$

M.A. Shifman, A.I. Vainshtein, V.I. Zakharov, QCD and resonance physics. theoretical foundations, Nucl. Phys. B147, Issue 5, 1979, 385-447

![](_page_24_Picture_5.jpeg)

$$\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_0 = (-243 \text{ MeV})^3$$
$$\frac{\langle \overline{q}i\sigma Gq \rangle_0}{2\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_0} = 0.4 \pm 0.1 \text{ GeV}^2$$
$$\approx \frac{\langle \overline{q}D^2q \rangle}{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle} = \lambda_q^2$$
$$\frac{\langle \overline{s}s \rangle}{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle} = 0.8 \pm 0.3$$

![](_page_24_Picture_8.jpeg)

 Condensates are well-known and have been widely used in sum rules calculations for decades

$$\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_{0} = (-243 \text{ MeV})^{3}$$

$$\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_{0} = 0.4 \pm 0.1 \text{ GeV}^{2}$$

$$\approx \frac{\langle \overline{q}D^{2}q \rangle}{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle} = \lambda_{q}^{2}$$

$$\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_{0} = 0.4 \pm 0.1 \text{ GeV}^{2}$$

$$\approx \frac{\langle \overline{q}D^{2}q \rangle}{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle} = \lambda_{q}^{2}$$

$$\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_{0} = (-243 \text{ MeV})^{3}$$

$$\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_{0} = 0.4 \pm 0.1 \text{ GeV}^{2}$$

$$\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_{0} = \lambda_{q}^{2}$$

$$\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_{0} = 0.8 \pm 0.3$$

M.A. Shifman, A.I. Vainshtein, V.I. Zakharov, QCD and resonance physics. theoretical foundations, Nucl. Phys. B147, Issue 5, 1979, 385-447

![](_page_25_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### **QCD condensates** The relevant contributions in the local expansion

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

...

#### **QCD** condensates The relevant contributions in the local expansion

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

...

#### **QCD condensates** The relevant contributions in the local expansion

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

29

...

# Nonlocal generalization

• Assumption - long distances play a crucial role in  $D^0\overline{D}^0$  mixing

$$\langle \overline{q}(x)^a_{\alpha}q(0)^b_{\beta} \rangle = \frac{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_0}{4N_C} \delta^{ab} \left[ \delta_{\alpha\beta} \left( 1 - \frac{x^2}{4} \left( \frac{m^2}{2} - \frac{\langle \overline{q}\sigma Gq \rangle_0}{2\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_0} \right) \dots \right) + i(x)_{\beta\alpha} \left( \frac{m}{4} - \frac{x^2}{4} \left( \frac{m^3}{12} - \frac{m}{12} \frac{\langle \overline{q}\sigma Gq \rangle_0}{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_0} + \frac{2}{81} \pi \alpha_s^{NP} \frac{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_0^2}{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_0} \right) \right) \right]$$

![](_page_29_Picture_4.jpeg)

- Assumption long distances play a crucial role in  $D^0\overline{D}^0$  mixing
- Questions have been raised in the literature as to whether this expansion is well behaved  $\dots \right) + i(x)_{\beta\alpha} \left( \frac{m}{4} - \frac{x^2}{4} \left( \frac{m^3}{12} - \frac{m}{12} \frac{\langle \overline{q}\sigma Gq \rangle_0}{\langle \overline{a}q \rangle_0} + \frac{2}{81} \pi \alpha_s^{NP} \frac{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_0^2}{\langle \overline{a}q \rangle_0} \right) \dots \right) \right)$

$$\langle \overline{q}(x)^a_{\alpha}q(0)^b_{\beta} \rangle = \frac{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_0}{4N_C} \delta^{ab} \left[ \delta_{\alpha\beta} \left( 1 - \frac{x^2}{4} \left( \frac{m^2}{2} - \frac{\langle \overline{q}\sigma Gq \rangle_0}{2\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_0} \right) \right) \right] \right]$$

S. V. Mikhailov and A. V. Radyushkin, Nonlocal condensates and QCD sum rules for the pion wave function, Phys. Rev. D 45 (Mar, 1992) 1754–1759

![](_page_30_Picture_6.jpeg)

- Assumption long distances play a crucial role in  $D^0\overline{D}^0$  mixing
- Questions have been raised in the literature as to whether this expansion is well behaved  $\dots \right) + i(x)_{\beta\alpha} \left( \frac{m}{4} - \frac{x^2}{4} \left( \frac{m^3}{12} - \frac{m}{12} \frac{\langle \overline{q}\sigma Gq \rangle_0}{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_0} + \frac{2}{81} \pi \alpha_s^{NP} \frac{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_0^2}{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_0} \right) \dots \right) \right)$  $\delta_{\alpha\beta}F_S(x) + i(x)_{\beta\alpha}F_V(x)$

$$\langle \overline{q}(x)^a_{\alpha}q(0)^b_{\beta} \rangle = \frac{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_0}{4N_C} \delta^{ab} \left[ \delta_{\alpha\beta} \left( 1 - \frac{x^2}{4} \left( \frac{m^2}{2} - \frac{\langle \overline{q}\sigma Gq \rangle_0}{2\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_0} \right) \right) \right] \right]$$

$$\left\langle \overline{q}(x)^a_{\alpha} q(0)^b_{\beta} \right\rangle = \frac{\left\langle \overline{q}q \right\rangle}{4N_C} \delta^{ab}$$

S. V. Mikhailov and A. V. Radyushkin, Nonlocal condensates and QCD sum rules for the pion wave function, Phys. Rev. D 45 (Mar, 1992) 1754–1759

![](_page_31_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_3.jpeg)

- Condition 1: must reproduce the expansion in small-x limit
- Condition 2: must decay in the large-x limit

$$F_{S,V,G}(x) = \int_0^\infty d\alpha \left( B_{S,V,G} f(\alpha) + A_{S,V,G} f'(\alpha) \right)$$

![](_page_35_Figure_5.jpeg)

- Condition 1: must reproduce the expansion in small-x limit
- Condition 2: must decay in the large-x limit

$$F_{S,V,G}(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} d\alpha \left( B_{S,V,G} f(\alpha) + A_{S,V,G} f'(\alpha) \right)$$
  
*fixed by the first moments of the expansion*

 $)e^{-\alpha \frac{x^2}{4}}$ 

![](_page_36_Picture_6.jpeg)

- Condition 1: must reproduce the expansion in small-x limit
- Condition 2: must decay in the large-x limit

$$F_{S,V,G}(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} d\alpha \left( B_{S,V,G} f(\alpha) + A_{S,V,G} f'(\alpha) \right) e^{-1}$$
*fixed by the first moments of the expansion*

![](_page_37_Figure_5.jpeg)

V. M. Braun, D. Y. Ivanov and G. P. Korchemsky, The B meson distribution amplitude in QCD, Phys. Rev. D 69 (2004) 034014, [hep-ph/0309330]

![](_page_37_Picture_8.jpeg)

- Condition 1: must reproduce the expansion in small-x limit
- Condition 2: must decay in the large-x limit

$$F_{S,V,G}(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} d\alpha \left( B_{S,V,G} f(\alpha) + A_{S,V,G} f'(\alpha) \right)$$
  
*fixed by the first moments of the expansion*

![](_page_38_Figure_4.jpeg)

V. M. Braun, D. Y. Ivanov and G. P. Korchemsky, The B meson distribution amplitude in QCD, Phys. Rev. D 69 (2004) 034014, [hep-ph/0309330]

![](_page_38_Picture_7.jpeg)

- Condition 1: must reproduce the expansion in small-x limit
- Condition 2: must decay in the large-x limit

$$F_{S,V,G}(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} d\alpha \left( B_{S,V,G} f(\alpha) + A_{S,V,G} f'(\alpha) \right)$$
  
fixed by the first moments of the expansion

$$\lambda_q^2 = \frac{\langle \overline{q}(D)^2 q \rangle}{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle} \approx \frac{\langle \overline{q}i\sigma Gq \rangle}{2\langle \overline{q}q \rangle}$$
average quark virtuality

![](_page_39_Figure_5.jpeg)

V. M. Braun, D. Y. Ivanov and G. P. Korchemsky, The B meson distribution amplitude in QCD, Phys. Rev. D 69 (2004) 034014, [hep-ph/0309330]

![](_page_39_Picture_8.jpeg)

- Condition 1: must reproduce the expansion in small-x limit
- Condition 2: must decay in the large-x limit

$$F_{S,V,G}(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} d\alpha \left( B_{S,V,G} f(\alpha) + A_{S,V,G} f'(\alpha) \right)$$
  
fixed by the first moments of the expansion

$$\lambda_q^2 = \frac{\langle \overline{q}(D)^2 q \rangle}{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle} \approx \frac{\langle \overline{q}i\sigma Gq \rangle}{2\langle \overline{q}q \rangle}$$
average quark virtuality

![](_page_40_Figure_5.jpeg)

V. M. Braun, D. Y. Ivanov and G. P. Korchemsky, *The B meson distribution* amplitude in QCD, Phys. Rev. D 69 (2004) 034014, [hep-ph/0309330]

![](_page_40_Picture_8.jpeg)

α

- Condition 1: must reproduce the expansion in small-x limit
- Condition 2: must decay in the large-x limit

$$F_{S,V,G}(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} d\alpha \left( B_{S,V,G} f(\alpha) + A_{S,V,G} f'(\alpha) \right)$$
  
fixed by the first moments of the expansion

$$\lambda_q^2 = \frac{\langle \overline{q}(D)^2 q \rangle}{\langle \overline{q}q \rangle} \approx \frac{\langle \overline{q}i\sigma Gq \rangle}{2\langle \overline{q}q \rangle}$$
average quark virtuality

![](_page_41_Figure_5.jpeg)

amplitude in QCD, Phys. Rev. D 69 (2004) 034014, [hep-ph/0309330]

expanding in terms of local condensates

![](_page_42_Figure_2.jpeg)

$$-4.6 \times 10^{-6}$$

![](_page_42_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_5.jpeg)

expanding in terms of local condensates

![](_page_43_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_3.jpeg)

$$-4.6 \times 10^{-6}$$

using the simplest nonlocal model

$$-5.8 \times 10^{-6}$$

expanding in terms of local condensates

![](_page_44_Figure_3.jpeg)

 $-4.6 \times 10^{-6}$ 

![](_page_44_Figure_5.jpeg)

using the simplest nonlocal model

$$-5.8 \times 10^{-6}$$

$$-1.9 \times 10^{-6}$$

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

# (Preliminary) Results

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Concluding remarks Future research & possible improvements

- The result is sensitive to
  - Condensate values, as well as the ratio  $\langle \overline{ss} \rangle / \langle \overline{qq} \rangle$ .
  - values as high as  $\sim 2.5 \, \mathrm{GeV}^2$

P. Gubler and D. Satow, Recent Progress in QCD Condensate Evaluations and Sum Rules, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 106 (2019) 1–67, [1812.00385] A. F. Falk, Y. Grossman, Z. Ligeti, and A. A. Petrov, SU(3) breaking and D0-D0 mixing, Phys. Rev. D 65, 054034, [hep-ph/0110317] 49

• Quark virtuality for which the often quoted value is  $\sim 0.4 \, {
m GeV}^2$  which we used, but some report

![](_page_48_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_8.jpeg)

### **Concluding remarks** Future research & possible improvements

- The result is sensitive to
  - Condensate values, as well as the ratio  $\langle \overline{ss} \rangle / \langle \overline{qq} \rangle$ .
  - values as high as  $\sim 2.5 \, {\rm GeV^2}$
- Future research calculation of the four-quark condensate contribution
  - strange mass  $\propto (m_s/m_c)^2$
  - This is supposed to be the (parametrically) **leading contribution!**

P. Gubler and D. Satow, Recent Progress in QCD Condensate Evaluations and Sum Rules, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 106 (2019) 1-67, [1812.00385] A. F. Falk, Y. Grossman, Z. Ligeti, and A. A. Petrov, SU(3) breaking and D0–D0 mixing, Phys. Rev. D 65, 054034, [hep-ph/0110317] 50

• Quark virtuality for which the often quoted value is  $\sim 0.4 \, {
m GeV^2}$  which we used, but some report

• Both propagators in the box diagram are replaced by condensates - expected dependence on

![](_page_49_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_15.jpeg)

Lovro Dulibić (Ruđer Bošković Institute, Croatia)

![](_page_50_Picture_2.jpeg)

Funded by the European Union NextGenerationEU

in collaboration with: Blaženka Melić (Ruđer Bošković Institute, Croatia), Alexey Petrov (University of South Carolina, USA)

![](_page_50_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### **Quirks in Quark Flavor Physics, Zadar 2024**

![](_page_50_Picture_9.jpeg)