B-physics highlights from Run2/3 and strategies for HL-LHC

Maria Smizanska **on behalf of the ATLAS collaboration**

Lancaster University

Zadar 2024, 17-21 June 2024

Outline

- ATLAS detector and B-physics data in Run2
- Measurement of effective lifetime in $\mathcal{B}^0_{({\rm s})} \to \mu^+ \mu^-$ [JHEP 09 \(2023\) 199 W](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/JHEP09(2023)199)Y
- Observation of structures in di-charmonium mass spectrum [PRL 131 \(2023\) 151902 W](https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/PAPERS/BPHY-2022-01)⁷
- Study of $\Upsilon + 2\mu$ mass spectrum [ATLAS-CONF-2023-041](https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/CONFNOTES/ATLAS-CONF-2023-041)
- Run3 data highlights
- HL-LHC and B-physics performance in ATLAS

ATLAS data in B-physics analysis

- ATLAS has collected 139 fb $^{-1}$ of data in Run 2, and 25 fb $^{-1}$ in Run 1
- Focus mostly on final states with muons
- \bullet Typical triggers di-muons with p_T thresholds of either 4 GeV or 6 GeV (vary over run periods)
- Additional trigger selections are applied, e.g. on di-muon masses, targeting different analyses, as shown in Fig.

ATLAS detector

- **•** Inner Detector: PIX, SCT and TRT, $p_T > 0.4$ GeV, $|\eta| < 2.5$
	- Run2: new IBL 25% improvement of time resolution with respect to Run1.
	- Time, mass resolutions remain stable within increasing pileup in Run 2
- Muon Spectrometer: triggering ($|\eta|$ < 2.4), precision tracking ($|\eta|$ < 2.7)
- In Standard Model (SM) only the *CP*-odd heavy-mass eigenstate in the $B^0_s \bar{B}^0_s$ pair decays into $\mu^+\mu^-$ [M. Beneke JHEP 10 \(2019\) 232 and errata JHEP 11 \(2022\) 099.](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-5081-5) \mathbb{Z}
- **Beyond the Standard Model (BSM) such as minimal supersymmetric Standard Model extensions [D. M.](https://doi.org/10.1393/ncc/i2012-11132-x)** Straub II Nuovo Cimento C 35 (2012) 249 \vec{C} can potentially perturb the effective lifetime in $\mathcal{B}_{\rm (s)}^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays. These perturbations can be significant also in absence of measurable BSM effects on the $\mathcal{B}^0_{(\mathbf{s})} \to \mu^+ \mu^-$ branching fraction (BR).
- The effective $\mathcal{B}^0_{(\mathbf{s})} \to \mu^+ \mu^-$ lifetime is defined as

$$
\tau_{\mu\mu} = \frac{\int_0^\infty t\Gamma(B_s^0(t) \to \mu\mu) dt}{\int_0^\infty \Gamma(B_s^0(t) \to \mu\mu) dt}, \text{ where: } \Gamma\left(B_s\left(t\right) \to \mu\mu\right) = \Gamma\left(B_s^0\left(t\right) \to \mu\mu\right) + \Gamma\left(\bar{B}_s^0\left(t\right) \to \mu\mu\right)
$$

and *t* is the proper decay time of the $B_{\rm s}^{\rm 0}$ and $\bar B_{\rm s}^{\rm 0}$ mesons.

- In the SM hypothesis $\tau_{\mu\mu}$ coincides with the lifetime of the heavy \mathcal{B}^0_s eigenstate $\tau_{\mathcal{B}^H_s}$.
- The experimental average of the B_s^0 \bar{B}_s^0 lifetimes and their difference [Phys. Rev. D 107 \(2023\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.107.052008) [052008](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.107.052008) G yields the prediction $\tau_{\mu\mu}^{\rm SM}=(1.624\pm0.009)$ ps, with new physics effects perturbing it at most by the difference between the heavy and light eigenstate lifetimes (0.193 ps).

Measurement of effective lifetime in $\mathcal{B}^0_{(s)} \to \mu^+ \mu^-$, cont 1

- Data from 2015-2016 are used in this measurement.
- Un-binned maximum likelihood fit to candidates in the [4766 − 5966] MeV mass region (Left Fig) , yielding 58 \pm 13 (stat. only) $\mathcal{B}^0_{({\rm s})} \to \mu^+ \mu^-$ signal events.
- Signal and backgrounds weights calculated from the result of the mass fit are used to construct the proper decay time data histogram - background-subtracted employing per-event weights calculated according to the *sPlot* technique (Middle Fig).
- The lifetime measurement is obtained by minimising the binned χ^2 between the data histogram and lifetime-dependent pure signal MC templates extracted from MC simulated samples, as illustrated in the Middle and Right Fig.

Measurement of effective lifetime in $\mathcal{B}^0_{(s)} \to \mu^+ \mu^-$, cont 2

- The statistical uncertainty is derived from the Neyman CL band construction Figure Left. The χ^2 minimum and the Neyman belt construction yield $\tau_{\mu\mu}=$ 0.99 $^{+0.42}_{-0.07}$ (stat) ps. The imbalance between positive and negative statistical uncertainties is already suggested by the asymmetry in the χ^2 scan.
- The systematic errors are dominated by data-MC discrepancies, followed by uncertainties in backgrounds lifetime modelling.
- The result using 2015-2016 data is $\tau_{\mu\mu}=$ 0.99 $^{+0.42}_{-0.07}$ (stat.) \pm 0.17 (syst.) ps. It is consistent with the SM prediction $\tau_{\mu\mu}^{\rm SM} =$ (1.624 \pm 0.009) <code>ps [Phys. Rev. D 107 \(2023\) 052008](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.107.052008) G </code> as well as with the other available experimental results.

Searches for resonances in di- J/ψ and J/ψ - ψ (2S) \rightarrow 4 μ

- In 2020 LHCb claimed [arXiv:2006.16957](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1804391) \mathbb{Z}^n an observation of a new X(6900) structure in pp \rightarrow J/ψ - $J/\psi \rightarrow 4\mu$ mass spectrum
	- \bullet consistent with predictions for T $cc\overline{cc}$ tetraquarks model [\(EPJC 80 \(2020\) 1004 W](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-08579-3) \mathbb{C} , [PLB 811 \(2020\) 135952](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0370269320307553?via%3Dihub) **[W](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0370269320307553?via%3Dihub)ITA**
	- non-tetraquark interpretations also possible e.g. in Pomeron exchanges in near-threshold *J*/ψ-*J*/ψ scattering [\(PLB 824 \(2022\) 136794 W\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0370269321007346?via) C.
	- broad lower-mass structure can be e.g. a mixture of multiple tetraquark states or feed-down from their decays via heavier charmonia
- \bullet The observation then confirmed by ATLAS [PRL 131 \(2023\) 151902 W](https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/PAPERS/BPHY-2022-01) \mathbb{Z}^r and CMS [\(PRL 132 \(2024\)](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2668013) \mathbb{Z}^r

Assuming no interference:

 $m[X(6900)] = 6905 \pm 11 \pm 7$ MeV/ c^2

 $\Gamma[X(6900)] = 80 \pm 19 \pm 33$ MeV,

With NRSPS interference:

 $m[X(6900)] = 6886 \pm 11 \pm 11 \,\text{MeV}/c^2$ $\Gamma[X(6900)] = 168 \pm 33 \pm 69$ MeV.

- For di- J/ψ the resonance $X(6900)$ is reliably confirmed with consistent parameters to LHCb
- The excess of $> 5 \sigma$ at 6.9 GeV and the broad structure at lower mass, confirmed in both models:
- Model A (Fig left): three interfering S-wave BW resonances;
- Model B (Fig right): two resonances, first interfering with background

- For *J*/ψ-ψ(2S) the resonance at X(6900) is also confirmed, with the significance above 4σ in both models α, β:
- Model α (Fig left): two interfering S-wave BW resonances
- Model β (Fig right): one resonance only.
- Evidence for another resonance, also hinted in LHCb results near 7.2 - 7.3 GeV in $J/\psi \cdot \psi(2S)$, at level of (3-4 σ local significance).

- 8 TeV data analysis: an excess at $m(4\mu) = 18$ GeV
- global significance 1.9–5.4 σ depending on selection choice, survives extensive validation

- 13 TeV data: much less significant structure in 2015–17 data and no signal in 2018 (with tighter trigger)
- MC and data-driven studies confirm reduction of sensitivity in Run-2 data
- 13 TeV result is in tension with 8 TeV at 2.7 σ level
- To be further studied with Run-3

Run3 features B-physics triggers and data

- Run3 increasing instantaneous luminosity lead to the increased BX collisions (42 in 2022 and 50 in 2023) relative to 34 in Run2. Integrated luminosities: 2022 (31 fb $^{-1}$) and 2023 (27 fb $^{-1}$).
- To scope with trigger output rate limits the ATLAS physics groups have adopted the trigger strategies. The B-physics is using trigger pre-scales: to rely mainly on higher di-muon pT (11-6 GeV and 6-6 GeV) at the start of the fill, while towards the middle and the end of fill the lower pT (6, 4 GeV) triggers are prefered.
- For the high precision measurements, like CP-violation and lifetimes, the shift towards higher pT of B-hadrons is beneficial, as the proper-decay time errors get smaller, even if there is some loss of statistics due to eliminated lower pT events.
- For low mass resonance searches preserving the low pT muon triggers is necessary, they would combine 3-muons in L1.

12/17 **https:// Maria Smizanska on behalf of the ATLAS collaboration** [B-physics highlights from Run2/3 and strategies for HL-LHC](#page-0-0)

- Increase > 10 x \int Ldt of LHC \rightarrow 3000-4000 fb⁻¹
- Peak luminosity 5 7.5 x 10³⁴ cm⁻² s⁻¹
- Average amount of pp interactions 140-200 per BX with a time space 25 ns
- These conditions require Detector Upgrades.

High Luminosity-LHC - ATLAS track density in Inner detector

$\tt ATLAS$ HL-LHC prospects $\mathcal{B}^0_s \rightarrow \mathcal{J}/\psi \phi$

- **ATL-PHYS-PUB-2018-041**
- Inner Detector upgrade: proper decay time resolution improved by 21% w.r.t. Run 2
- Three trigger scenarios for muon momenta thresholds
- φ*^s* precision improves (9 20) times w.r.t.Run1, or (4 - 9) times w.r.t. current result combining Run1 and Run2 99.7 fb⁻¹

Likelihood contours for 68.3%, 95.5%, and 99.7% confidence levels

$\mathcal{B}^0_{(s)}\to \mu^+\mu^-$ HL-LHC Prospects in ATLAS, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2018-005

- 3 trigger scenarios for thresholds $p_T(\mu_1)$, $p_T(\mu_2)$
- Conservative (10-10) GeV (x15 Run1); Intermediate (6-10) GeV (x60 Run1); High-yield (6-6) GeV (x75 Run1).
- Recent ATLAS B-physics measurement published in 2023-2024, based on Run2 data have been presented.
- Many other analysis of Run2 data are on the way.
- In Run3 the integrated luminosities taken since far have not allowed to improve Run2 high precision measurements, however searches for low mass resonance requiring the low pT muons can already benefit.
- HL-LHC B-physics strategy carefully prepared by using full simulation and reconstruction. This is being updated for important updates in reconstruction software.